

# Recommendations of Charts and Reference Values for Assessing Growth of Preterm Infants: Update by the Portuguese Neonatal Society

Luis Pereira-da-Silva<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Daniel Virella<sup>2</sup>, Simão Frutuoso<sup>4</sup>, Manuel Cunha<sup>5</sup>, Gustavo Rocha<sup>6</sup>, Susana Pissarra<sup>1,6</sup>

Port J Pediatr 2020;51:73-8

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.25754/pjp.2020.18888>

## Abstract

The growth charts and reference values for preterm infants are revisited and recommendations for their use are updated by the Portuguese Neonatal Society.

To assess intrauterine growth: The cross-sectional sex specific Fenton 2013 charts, based on birth weight, length, and head circumference, are the most appropriate. Neonates born with weight < 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile are classified as small-for-gestational-age and those born > 97<sup>th</sup> percentile are classified as large-for-gestational-age. The Olsen 2015 body mass index curves can be used to assess proportionality at birth, although the accuracy of some length measurements has been questioned.

During hospitalization: The online calculator at [www.growthcalculator.org](http://www.growthcalculator.org), based on recent longitudinal curves, is recommended to assess weight gain; it provides an accurate graphic display of the current weight percentile, target weight, and its deviation. Fenton 2013 charts may be an alternative to monitor linear and head growth while in the neonatal unit. In the neonatal period, growth rates of 15-20 g/kg/day for weight, 0.9-1.1 cm/week for length, and 0.9-1.0 cm/week for head circumference, are adequate goals.

After discharge: The longitudinal Intergrowth-21<sup>st</sup> standards are adequate to monitor growth from 32-64 weeks' postmenstrual age, for infants born at more than 27 weeks of gestation. After that postmenstrual age, the World Health Organization growth standards 2006 for infants born at term can be used.

**Keywords:** anthropometry, growth charts, preterm infant, recommendation, reference values

## Introduction

The most commonly used anthropometric measurements to assess the growth of infants born preterm are body weight, length, and head circumference.<sup>1</sup> In this population, the appropriateness of reference values depends on the purpose of the measurement and period of life<sup>2-4</sup>: 1) at birth, to diagnose intrauterine growth deviations; 2) during hospitalization, to monitor the effectiveness of prescribed nutritional support and the impact of morbidity; and 3) after discharge or during prolonged hospitalization, to monitor medium- or long-term growth.

Measurements can be compared with standard values (prescriptive) or reference values (descriptive). While the former are intended to represent optimal growth, the reference values describe how infants actually grow up.<sup>1,5</sup> The ideal tool would be standard curves, constructed from a representative long-term follow-up cohort, and recruited at the prenatal period, including from the threshold of viability to term gestational ages, to be used throughout all periods of life. Unfortunately, such a tool is still unavailable.

In 2013, the Portuguese Neonatal Society critically reviewed the published growth curves for preterm infants and made recommendations for clinical practice.<sup>6</sup> These recommendations became outdated after the publication of the Intergrowth-21<sup>st</sup> standards<sup>7</sup> and, more recently, the availability of an accurate online growth calculator to monitor weight gain during hospitalization.<sup>8,9</sup>

Growth charts and reference values to assess growth of preterm infants, from birth to the post-neonatal period, are revisited and recommendations for their use are updated by the Portuguese Neonatal Society (Table 1). Levels of evidence (LE) and strengths of recommendation (SR)<sup>10</sup> are provided for each chart (Table 2).

1. Committee on Nutrition of the Portuguese Neonatal Society, Lisboa, Portugal

2. Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Dona Estefânia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Lisboa Central, Lisboa, Portugal

3. NOVA Medical School | Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

4. Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Centro Materno-Infantil do Norte, Centro Hospitalar Universitário do Porto, Porto, Portugal

5. Neonatal Unit, Hospital de Cascais Dr. José de Almeida, Cascais, Portugal

6. Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João, Porto, Portugal

### Corresponding Author

Luis Pereira-da-Silva

[l.pereira.silva@chlc.min-saude.pt](mailto:l.pereira.silva@chlc.min-saude.pt)

NICU, Hospital Dona Estefânia, Rua Jacinta Marto,

1169-045 Lisboa, Portugal

Received: 20/11/2019 | Accepted: 22/11/2019 | Published: 02/01/2020

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use.

**Table 1. Comparison between previous versus current recommendations of growth charts for preterm infants, from the Portuguese Neonatal Society**

Purpose	Recommendations 2013 <sup>27</sup>	Recommendations 2019
To assess intrauterine growth	Fenton 2013 charts <sup>12</sup>	Fenton 2013 charts <sup>12</sup>
To monitor weight gain during hospitalization	Ehrenkranz 1999 charts <sup>37</sup>	Online calculator <a href="http://www.growthcalculator.org">www.growthcalculator.org</a>
To monitor growth after discharge	Fenton 2013 charts <sup>12</sup> up to 50 weeks postmenstrual age	Intergrowth-2 <sup>st</sup> standards <sup>7</sup> up to 64 weeks postmenstrual age

**Table 2. Growth charts recommended for preterm infants**

Chart	Indication	LE	SR
Fenton 2013 charts	To assess intrauterine growth based on the weight, length, and head circumference at birth	2	B
The online growth calculator <a href="http://www.growthcalculator.org">www.growthcalculator.org</a>	To monitor linear and head growth during hospitalization	2	B
	To monitor weight gain during hospitalization	2	B
Intergrowth-21 <sup>st</sup> standards	To monitor growth after discharge from 32 weeks postmenstrual age, for infants born at more than 27 weeks of gestation	2	B
Olsen BMI charts	To assess proportionality at birth	2	B

LE - level of evidence; SR - strength of recommendation.

Adapted from Ebell MH, et al. Strength of recommendation taxonomy (SORT): A patient-centered approach to grading evidence in the medical literature. *J Am Board Fam Pract* 2004;17:59-67.<sup>10</sup>

## To assess intrauterine growth

Curves constructed from anthropometric records at birth are appropriate to assess intrauterine growth. They should not be confused with curves based on fetal ultrasound measurements that are appropriate to monitor fetal growth.<sup>11</sup>

### Weight, length, and head circumference

Recommended: Fenton 2013 charts (LE 2, SR B)

The cross-sectional sex and gestational age specific Fenton 2013 charts<sup>12</sup> are the most appropriate to assess intrauterine growth, and include directly measured birth weight, length, and head circumference. These charts are based on a meta-analysis of six large population-based surveys of size at birth, from 22 to 36 weeks gestation. They were harmonized with the longitudinal World Health Organization (WHO) growth standards for infants born at term,<sup>13</sup> smoothing the data between the preterm and WHO estimates, while maintaining integrity with the data from 22 to 36 weeks and at 50

weeks.<sup>12</sup> The portion of the curves between 37 and 50 weeks were validated by comparing them using weight gain patterns of contemporary preterm infants.<sup>14</sup>

An application based on the Fenton 2013 charts<sup>12</sup> is available at [PediTools.org](http://PediTools.org) (<https://peditools.org/fenton2013/index.php>) and allows the online calculation of the z-scores from measured weight, length, and head circumference and the quantification of extreme deviations.

Strengths:

- This meta-analysis included the largest homogeneous sample to date, including almost 4 million neonates with measured weight, 151,527 with measured length, and 173,612 with measured head circumference.
- The curves are stratified into percentiles 3 to 97, allowing a more precise classification.
- The charts are open-access charts.

Limitations:

- Although the meta-analysis<sup>12</sup> was based on selected studies from developed countries, the curves are not a standard for intrauterine growth, since in some of the included studies twin pregnancies, morbidity during pregnancy, and poor surveillance and nutrition of pregnant women were not exclusion criteria.<sup>6</sup>
- For elaboration of percentile curves,<sup>12</sup> studies only considering ages in complete weeks have been included, except for one study,<sup>15</sup> in which gestational age in weeks and days was used; in the remaining reference curve proposals, gestational ages between full weeks had been mathematically extrapolated.

- To determine the values of each reference percentile (3, 10, 50, 90, and 97) for the weight, length, and head circumference, the meta-analysis<sup>12</sup> used percentiles calculated in each study that met the inclusion criteria for each age, instead of the values of each individual, thus reducing the accuracy by the accumulation of rounding and estimation errors.<sup>6</sup>

Classification of intrauterine growth: to classify intrauterine growth, birth weight should be related with gestational age; accordingly, neonates are classified as large-, appropriate-, or small-for-gestational age.<sup>2</sup> There is no consensus regarding the cut-offs for this classification.<sup>16,17</sup> While some authors define the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles as lower and higher thresholds, others consider as lower thresholds the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile, or -2 standard deviations (SD) to classify as small-for-gestational age, and the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile, 97<sup>th</sup> percentile or +2 SD as higher thresholds to classify as large-for-gestational age.<sup>16,17</sup> The ability of a chart to estimate statistically defined thresholds is dependent on the sample size for each gestational age group of interest, and only samples greater than 120 individuals have enough statistical power to define the 3<sup>rd</sup> or

the 5<sup>th</sup> percentiles.<sup>18-20</sup> Hence, using the Fenton 2013 curves,<sup>12</sup> the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 97<sup>th</sup> percentiles may be used as the statistical thresholds for small-for-gestational age and large-for-gestational age infants, respectively. While these are statistical definitions for deviations of size measurement, the definition of clinically significant thresholds requires prospective longitudinal studies rather than cross-sectional assessments.<sup>17,21</sup> Due to the skewed distribution of weight by gestational age, the use of reference curves based on percentiles is preferred to those based on average and standard deviations.<sup>22</sup>

### Body mass index

Recommended: Olsen body mass index (BMI) curves (LE 2, SR B)

The Olsen BMI curves are based on cross-sectional birth data.<sup>23</sup> These curves propose the BMI to assess proportionality at birth, distinguishing between asymmetrical and symmetrical types of intrauterine growth restriction.<sup>23</sup> Based on the same cross-sectional birth data, the BMI was found to be more appropriate to assess body proportionality than either the weight-for-length ratio or ponderal index.<sup>24</sup>

Strengths:

- The Olsen BMI curves<sup>23</sup> were based on cross-sectional birth data collected from a large sample of 391,681 infants from 248 hospitals in the US.<sup>25</sup>

Limitations:

- The accuracy of the Olsen BMI curves has been questioned,<sup>26</sup> as in the original multicenter study,<sup>25</sup> tapes have been used for length measurements, a method recognized as of doubtful reliability.<sup>27,28</sup>

## To monitor growth during hospitalization

### Weight

Recommended: the online calculator at [www.growthcalculator.org](http://www.growthcalculator.org) (LE 2, SR B)

A recent large longitudinal study showed, provided that postnatal adaptation is uncomplicated, preterm infants transit to a weight gain trajectory of 0.8 SD below birth weight at the 21<sup>th</sup> postnatal day.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, it is neither expected nor desirable that preterm weight gain approximates intrauterine growth during the first postnatal month,<sup>8,29</sup> contrarily to what has been suggested for more advanced postnatal ages.<sup>30</sup> This means that charts that do not consider this initial physiological weight loss, such as the Fenton 2013 charts,<sup>12</sup> are not appropriate to monitor early postnatal growth in preterm infants.<sup>29</sup>

Based on the aforementioned large longitudinal study,<sup>8,9</sup>

an online calculator ([www.growthcalculator.org](http://www.growthcalculator.org)) was developed to accurately monitor the weight gain in the neonatal ward.

Strengths:

- This is a reliable, open-access online tool.
- It displays graphically in which percentile the current weight is plotted as well as the target weight and its deviation from the current weight in grams.

Limitations:

- This tool does not provide a graphic trend or curve from weight records.

Weight gain velocity: A recent analysis of growth velocities concluded that body weight gain rate of 15-20 g/kg/day is an adequate goal for infants born at 23-36 weeks of gestation.<sup>31</sup>

### Length and head circumference

Recommended: Fenton 2013 charts (LE 2, SR B)

Scientific pediatric societies recommend that the optimum growth of preterm infants should be equivalent to intrauterine rates.<sup>32,33</sup> Birth size measurements can be used as a proxy of intrauterine growth.<sup>12</sup> Contrarily to body weight, which is characterized by an initial postnatal physiological decrease, body length and head circumference continuously increase after birth. The sex and gestational age specific Fenton 2013 charts can be an alternative to monitor postnatal linear growth and head growth from birth to 50 weeks postmenstrual age, despite being cross-sectional records of size at birth.

Strengths:

- Fenton 2013 meta-analysis included a large sample of neonates with the measured length (151,527) and head circumference (173,612) at birth.<sup>12</sup>

Limitations:

- Fenton 2013 curves are based on cross-sectional measurements of size at birth.<sup>12</sup> Ideally, postnatal growth would be more appropriately assessed using longitudinal measurements of infants with an uneventful clinical course. However, a representative sample with longitudinal measurements, including neonates from the threshold of viability to term gestational age at birth, is currently unavailable.

Length and head circumference velocities: A rate of approximately 0.9-1.1 cm/week for length and 0.9-1.0 cm/week for head circumference are adequate goals, particularly for 23-30 weeks postmenstrual ages.<sup>12,34,35</sup>

## To monitor growth after discharge

### Weight, length, and head circumference

Recommended: Intergrowth-21<sup>st</sup> standards (LE 2, SR B)

The longitudinal Intergrowth-21<sup>st</sup> standards were designed for the follow-up of infants born at more than 26 weeks and less than 37 weeks of gestation.<sup>7</sup> For the development of these charts, a cohort of 4,607 healthy pregnant women from eight geographical areas of the world were enrolled, and fetal growth was monitored from 14 weeks gestational age to birth.<sup>36</sup> These charts included 201 preterm infants who met the criteria to be considered healthy and stable and they were followed up to 64 weeks postmenstrual age.<sup>7</sup>

After 64 weeks postmenstrual age, the preterm Intergrowth-21<sup>st</sup> standards overlap with the longitudinal WHO growth standards for infants born at term.<sup>13</sup> Therefore, after 64 weeks postmenstrual age, the WHO growth standards should be used.<sup>7</sup>

Strengths:

- To date, the Intergrowth-21<sup>st</sup> charts are the only standard charts (prescriptive) designed to monitor long-term growth of preterm infants.

- These standards are robust for neonates with gestational ages at birth between 33 and 36 completed weeks.

- An online calculator is available at: <http://intergrowth21.ndog.ox.ac.uk/preterm/en/ManualEntry/Compute>

Limitations:

- Among 201 healthy and stable preterm infants included in the Intergrowth-21<sup>st</sup> cohort, only 28 infants born at 33 weeks gestation or earlier contributed data to these standards.<sup>7</sup> Consequently, Intergrowth-21<sup>st</sup> standards are reliable for monitoring postnatal growth only from 32 weeks postmenstrual age in infants born at more than 27 weeks of gestation.<sup>7</sup>

## Conclusions

The ideal charts to assess the growth of preterm infants should be standard (prescriptive) curves, constructed from a large long-term follow-up cohort of infants, recruited at the prenatal period from uneventful pregnancies, and including neonates from the threshold

of viability to term gestational age at birth, without significant neonatal morbidities, thus allowing their use through all life periods. Such a tool is still unavailable. Until then, it is not accurate to attribute the maximum LE and SR to the existing charts.

Considering the currently available data, the Portuguese Neonatal Society recommends that every neonatal unit, admitting preterm infants, should have easily accessible at least a set of three growth charts and growth velocity reference values:

**To assess intrauterine growth:** the open-access Fenton 2013 charts, based on birth weight, length and head circumference.

**During hospital stay:** the open-access online calculator [www.growthcalculator.org](http://www.growthcalculator.org) to assess body weight; and the length and head circumference Fenton 2013 charts to monitor linear and head growth. Velocities goals of 15–20 g/kg/d for weight, 0.9–1.1 cm/week for length, and 0.9–1.0 cm/week for head circumference.

**After discharge:** the Intergrowth-21<sup>st</sup> standards to monitor growth from 32 to 64 weeks' postmenstrual age, for infants born at more than 27 weeks of gestation. After that postmenstrual age, the WHO Growth Standards 2006 constructed for infants born at term can be used.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there were no conflicts of interest in conducting this work.

## Funding Sources

There were no external funding sources for the realization of this paper.

## Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed

## Consent for publication

Consent for publication was obtained.

## Acknowledgments

These recommendations have been approved by the Direction of the Portuguese Neonatal Society on the 19th November 2019

## References

1. Bhatia J. Growth curves: How to best measure growth of the preterm infant. *J Pediatr* 2013;162:S2-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.11.047.
2. Pereira-da-Silva L. Neonatal anthropometry: A tool to evaluate the nutritional status, and to predict early and late risks. In: Preedy VR, editor. *Handbook of anthropometry: Physical measures of human form in health and disease*. Springer: New York; 2012. p.1079-104. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-1788-1.

3. Shrestha S, Thakur A, Goyal S, Garg P, Kler N. Growth charts in neonates. *Curr Med Res Pract* 2016;6:79-84. doi: 10.1016/j.cmrp.2016.03.009.
4. Pereira-da-Silva L, Virella D, Fusch C. Nutritional assessment in preterm infants: A practical approach in the NICU. *Nutrients* 2019;11:E1999. doi: 10.3390/nu11091999.
5. Bertino E, Di Nicola P, Giuliani F, Coscia A, Varalda A, Occhi L, et al. Evaluation of postnatal growth of preterm infants. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2011;24:9-11. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2011.601921.

6. Pereira-da-Silva L, Rocha G, Pissarra S, Cunha M, Alexandrino AM, Braga AC, et al. Recomendação de curvas de crescimento para crianças nascidas pré-termo. *Acta Paediatr Port* 2013;44:94-9.
7. Villar J, Giuliani F, Bhutta ZA, Bertino E, Ohuma EO, Ismail LC, et al. Postnatal growth standards for preterm infants: The preterm postnatal follow-up study of the INTERGROWTH-21(st) Project. *Lancet Glob Health* 2015;3:e681-91. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00163-1.
8. Rochow N, Raja P, Liu K, Fenton T, Landau-Crangle E, Göttler S, et al. Physiological adjustment to postnatal growth trajectories in healthy preterm infants. *Pediatr Res* 2016;79:870-9. doi: 10.1038/pr.2016.15.
9. Landau-Crangle E, Rochow N, Fenton TR, Liu K, Ali A, So HY, et al. Individualized postnatal growth trajectories for preterm infants. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2018;42:1084-92. doi: 10.1002/jpen.1138.
10. Ebell MH, Siwek J, Weiss BD, Woolf SH, Susman J, Ewigman B, Bowman M. Strength of recommendation taxonomy (SORT): A patient-centered approach to grading evidence in the medical literature. *J Am Board Fam Pract* 2004;17:59-67. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.17.1.59.
11. Sherry B, Mei Z, Grummer-Strawn L, Dietz WH. Evaluation of and recommendations for growth references for very low birth weight (< or = 1500 grams) infants in the United States. *Pediatrics* 2003;111:750-8. doi: 10.1542/peds.111.4.750.
12. Fenton TR, Kim JH. A systematic review and meta-analysis to revise the Fenton growth chart for preterm infants. *BMC Pediatr* 2013;13:59. doi:10.1186/1471-2431-13-59.
13. WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. WHO child growth standards based on length/height, weight and age. *Acta Paediatr* 2006;450:76-85.
14. Fenton TR, Nasser R, Eliasziw M, Kim JH, Bilan D, Sauve R. Validating the weight gain of preterm infants between the reference growth curve of the fetus and the term infant. *BMC Pediatr* 2013;13:92. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-13-92.
15. Voigt M, Rochow N, Jährig K, Straube S, Hufnagel S, Jorch G. Dependence of neonatal small and large for gestational age rates on maternal height and weight - an analysis of the German perinatal survey. *J Perinat Med* 2010;38:425-30. doi: 10.1515/JPM.2010.059.
16. Zhang J, Merialdi M, Platt LD, Kramer MS. Defining normal and abnormal fetal growth: Promises and challenges. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2010;202:522-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2009.10.889.
17. Beune IM, Bloomfield FH, Ganzevoort W, Embleton ND, Rozance PJ, van Wassenaer-Leemhuis AG, et al. Consensus based definition of growth restriction in the newborn. *J Pediatr* 2018;196:71-6.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.12.059.
18. Royston P, Altman DG. Design and analysis of longitudinal studies of fetal size. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 1995;6:307-12. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-0705.1995.06050307.x
19. Silverwood RJ, Cole TJ. Statistical methods for constructing gestational age-related reference intervals and centile charts for fetal size. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2007;29:6-13. doi: 10.1002/uog.3911.
20. Altman DG, Ohuma EO. Statistical considerations for the development of prescriptive fetal and newborn growth standards in the INTERGROWTH-21st project. *BJOG* 2013;120:71-6. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.12031.
21. Cole TJ, Flegal KM, Nicholls D, Jackson AA. Body mass index cut offs to define thinness in children and adolescents: International survey. *BMJ* 2007;335:194. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39238.399444.55.
22. Rochow N, Landau-Crangle E, So HY, Pelc A, Fusch G, Däbritz J, et al. Z-score differences based on cross-sectional growth charts do not reflect the growth rate of very low birth weight infants. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0216048. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0216048.
23. Olsen IE, Lawson ML, Ferguson AN, Cantrell R, Grabich SC, Zemel BS, et al. BMI curves for preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 2015;135:e572-81. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-2777.
24. Ferguson AN, Grabich SC, Olsen IE, Cantrell R, Clark RH, Ballew WN, et al. BMI is a better body proportionality measure than the ponderal index and weight-for-length for preterm infants. *Neonatology* 2018;113:108-16. doi: 10.1159/000480118.
25. Olsen IE, Groveman SA, Lawson ML, Clark RH, Zemel BS. New intrauterine growth curves based on United States data. *Pediatrics* 2010;125:e214-24. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-0913.
26. Pereira-da-Silva L, Virella D. Accurate direct measures are required to validate derived measures. *Neonatology* 2018;113:266. doi: 10.1159/000485667.
27. Pereira-da-Silva L, Bergmans KI, van Kerkhoven LA, Leal F, Virella D, Videira-Amaral JM. Reducing discomfort while measuring crown-heel length in neonates. *Acta Paediatr* 2006;95:742-6. doi: 10.1080/08035250500516623.
28. Wood AJ, Raynes-Greenow CH, Carberry AE, Jeffery HE. Neonatal length inaccuracies in clinical practice and related percentile discrepancies detected by a simple length-board. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2013;49:199-203. doi: 10.1111/jpc.12119.
29. Pereira-da-Silva L, Virella D. Is intrauterine growth appropriate to monitor postnatal growth of preterm neonates? *BMC Pediatr* 2014;14:14. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-14.
30. Villar J, Giuliani F, Barros F, Roggero P, Coronado Zarco IA, Rego MA, et al. Monitoring the postnatal growth of preterm infants: A paradigm change. *Pediatrics* 2018;141:e20172467. doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-2467.
31. Fenton TR, Anderson D, Groh-Wargo S, Hoyos A, Ehrenkranz RA, Senterre T. An attempt to standardize the calculation of growth velocity of preterm infants-evaluation of practical bedside methods. *J Pediatr* 2018;196:77-83. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.10.005.
32. Canadian Paediatric Society. Nutrient needs and feeding of premature infants. *Can Med Assoc J* 1995;152:1765-85.
33. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Nutrition. Nutritional needs of preterm infants. In: Kleinman RE, Greer FR, editors. *Pediatric nutrition handbook*. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Elk Grove Village: AAP; 2013. p.83-122.
34. Brennan AM, Murphy BP, Kiely ME. Optimising preterm nutrition: Present and future. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2016;75:154-61. doi: 10.1017/S0029665116000136.
35. Fenton TR, Senterre T, Griffin IJ. Time interval for preterm infant weight gain velocity calculation precision. *Arch Dis*

Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2019;104:F218-9. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2018-314843.

36. Papageorgiou AT, Ohuma EO, Altman DG, Todros T, Cheikh Ismail L, Lambert A, et al. International standards for fetal growth based on serial ultrasound measurements: The fetal growth longitudinal study of the INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> Project.

Lancet 2014;384:869-79. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61490-2.  
37. Ehrenkranz RA, Younes N, Lemons JA, Fanaroff AA, Donovan EF, Wright LL, et al. Longitudinal growth of hospitalized very low birth weight infants. Pediatrics 1999;104:280-9. doi: 10.1542/peds.104.2.280.

### Recomendação de Curvas e Valores de Referência para Avaliar o Crescimento de Crianças Nascidas Pré-Termo: Atualização pela Sociedade Portuguesa de Neonatologia

#### Resumo:

A Sociedade Portuguesa de Neonatologia revisita e atualiza as recomendações do uso de curvas e valores de referência para avaliação do crescimento de crianças nascidas pré-termo.

Avaliação do crescimento intrauterino: As curvas de Fenton 2013, específicas para o sexo, baseadas no peso, comprimento e perímetro cefálico ao nascer, são as mais adequadas. Se o peso for < percentil 3, o recém-nascido é classificado leve para a idade gestacional e se > percentil 97, grande para a idade gestacional. As curvas do índice de massa corporal de Olsen 2015 podem ser usadas para avaliar a proporcionalidade no nascer, embora o rigor de algumas medições do comprimento tenha sido questionado.

Durante o internamento: Para avaliar a evolução ponderal é recomendada a calculadora *on-line* [www.growthcalculator.org](http://www.growthcalculator.org), baseada em curvas longitudinais recentes. Assinala

graficamente, de forma precisa, o percentil do peso atual, assim como o peso alvo e o desvio. As curvas de Fenton 2013 podem ser uma alternativa para monitorizar o crescimento linear e cefálico enquanto na unidade neonatal. Durante o período neonatal, são adequadas velocidades de crescimento de 15-20 g/kg/dia para o peso, 0,9-1,1 cm/semana para o comprimento e 0,9-1,0 cm/semana para o perímetro cefálico. Após a alta: As curvas padrão *Intergrowth-21* são apropriadas para monitorar o crescimento das 32 às 64 semanas de idade pós-menstrual, em crianças nascidas com mais de 27 semanas de gestação. Após essa idade pós-menstrual, podem ser usadas as curvas padrão da Organização Mundial de Saúde 2006 para crianças nascidas de termo.

**Palavras-chave:** antropometria, curvas de crescimento, pré-termo, recomendação, valores de referência